arXiv:0806.2894v1 [math.DS] 18 Jun 2008

Statistical Behaviour of the Leaves of Riccati Foliations [*]

Ch. Bonatti, X. Gómez-Mont and R. Vila-Freyer

June 18, 2008

Abstract

We introduce the geodesic flow on the leaves of a holomorphic foliation with leaves of dimension 1 and hyperbolic, corresponding to the unique complete metric of curvature -1 compatible with its conformal structure. We do these for the foliations associated to Riccati equations, which are the projectivisation of the solutions of a linear ordinary differential equations over a finite Riemann surface of hyperbolic type S, and may be described by a representation $\rho : \pi_1(S) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. We give conditions under which the foliated geodesic flow has a generic repellor-attractor statistical dynamics. That is, there are measures μ^- and μ^+ such that for almost any initial condition with respect to the Lebesgue measure class the statistical average of the foliated geodesic flow converges for negative time to μ^- and for positive time to μ^+ (i.e. μ^+ is the unique SRB-measure and its basin has total Lebesgue measure). These measures are ergodic with respect to the foliated geodesic flow. These measures are also invariant under a foliated horocycle flow and they project to a harmonic measure for the Riccati foliation, which plays the role of an attractor for the statistical behaviour of the leaves of the foliation.

Introduction

The objective of this work is to propose a method for understanding the statistical properties of the leaves of a holomorphic foliation, and which we carry out for a simple class of holomorphic foliations: those obtained from the solutions of Riccati Equations. The method consists in using the canonical metric of curvature -1 that the leaves have as Riemann surfaces, the Poincaré metric, and then to flow along foliated geodesics. One is interested in understanding the statistics of this foliated geodesic flow. In particular, in determining if the foliated geodesic flow has an SRB-measure (for Sinaï , Ruelle and Bowen [21], [20], [5]), or physical measure, which means that a set of geodesics of positive Lebesgue measure have a convergent time statistics, which is shared by all the geodesics in this set, called the basin of attraction of the SRB-measure. The SRB-measure is the spatial measure describing this common time statistics of a significant set of geodesics. One then shows that the SRB-measure is invariant also under

---

[*] Partially supported by CNRS, France, and CONACYT 61317, 28541-E and 28491-E, Mexico.

a foliated horocycle flow ([2]) and the projection of the SRB-measure to the $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$-bundle over S is a harmonic measure for the Riccati foliation; in fact, the harmonic measures are in 1-1 correspondance with the measures simultaneously invariant by the foliated geodesic and a horocycle flow ([1], [17], [18]]).

The approach of using harmonic measures to understand the statistical behaviour of the leaves of a foliation started with the work of Garnett([11]) who proved existence of harmonic measures for regular foliations in compact manifolds, containing statistical properties of the behaviour of the leaves of the foliation. In this work we are dealing with singular foliations in compact manifolds (obtained by compactifying the Riccati foliation with a linear model with singularities over each puncture of S), which introduces the difficulty that the support of the measures could be contained in the singular set. Our conclusions are related to Fornaess and Sibony's harmonic currents in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ([8], [9] and [10]), where they show existence and uniqueness of harmonic currents using $\bar{\partial}$-methods for the generic foliations in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Their result does not include Riccati foliations in $\mathbb{C}P^2$, since these have some tangent lines (corresponding to the punctures of S) and a non-hyperbolic singular point (arising from the blow down to $\mathbb{C}P^2$). Our work is also related to Deroin and Kleptsyn [7], where they use foliated Brownian motion and heat flow instead of the foliated geodesic and horocycle flows for non-singular transversely holomorphic foliations in compact manifolds to obtain a finite number of attracting harmonic measures and the negativity of the Lyapunov exponent.

The Riccati equations are projectivisations of linear ordinary differential equations over a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface S (i.e. compact minus a finite number of points and with universal cover the upper half plane). Locally they have the form

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = A(z)w \quad , \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^n \ , \ z \in \mathbb{C} \quad , \quad A : \mathbb{C} \to Mat_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$$

with A holomorphic. These equations may be equivalently defined by giving the monodromy representations

$$\tilde{\rho} : \pi_1(S, z_0) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \qquad , \qquad \rho : \pi_1(S, z_0) \to PGL(n, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1}$$

and suspending them, to obtain flat $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ bundles over S

$$E_{\tilde{\rho}} \to S, \qquad , \qquad M_\rho \to S. \tag{2}$$

The graphs of the local flat sections of these bundles are the 'solutions' to the linear differential equation defined by the monodromy (1) and define holomorphic foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ of $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and M_ρ whose leaves $\mathcal{L}$ project as a covering to the base surface S.

Introduce to the finite hyperbolic Riemann surface S the Poincaré metric, to the unit tangent bundle $q : T^1S \to S$ the geodesic flow $\varphi : T^1S \times \mathbb{R} \to T^1S$ and the Liouville measure $dLiouv$ (hyperbolic area element in S and Haar measure on T^1_pS, normalised to volume 1). We may introduce on the leaves $\mathcal{L}$ of the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ the Poincaré metric, which is the pull back of the Poincaré metric of S by the covering map $q : \mathcal{L} \to S$. The unit tangent bundle $T^1_{\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}}$ to the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ in $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the vector bundle $q^*E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ over T^1S, that we denote by E. In the same way the unit tangent bundle $T^1_{\mathcal{F}_\rho}$ of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ is canonically identified to the projectivisation $Proj(E)$ of the vector bundle E over T^1S. Introduce on E

and on $Proj(E)$ the foliated geodesic flows $\tilde{\Phi}$ and Φ (see (2.2)), obtained by flowing along the foliated geodesics. Introduce also on E a continuous Hermitian inner product $| \, . \, |_v$.

Given a vector $v \in T^1S$ we have the geodesic

$$\mathbb{R} \to T^1S \qquad , \qquad t \to \varphi(v,t)$$

determined by the initial condition v and given $w_0 \in E_v$ we also have the foliated geodesic

$$\mathbb{R} \to E \qquad , \qquad t \to \tilde{\Phi}(w_0,t)$$

which is the solution to the linear differential equation defined by (1) along the foliated geodesic determined by v and w_0. The function

$$t \to |\tilde{\Phi}(w_0,t)|_{\varphi(v,t)}$$

describes the type of growth of the solution of (1) along the geodesic γ_v with initial condition $w_0 \in E_v$ and the function

$$t \to \frac{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1,t)|_{\varphi(v,t)}}{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_2,t)|_{\varphi(v,t)}}$$

describes the relative growth of the solution of (1) along the geodesic γ_v with initial condition $w_1 \in E_v$ with respect to the growth of the solution of (1) along γ_v with the initial condition $w_2 \in E_v$.

We say that the Riccati equation has a **section of largest expansion** σ^+ if for Liouville almost any point v on T^1S we may measurably define a splitting $E_v = F_v \oplus G_v$ by linear spaces, which is invariant by the foliated geodesic flow $\tilde{\Phi}$ with F_v of dimension 1 and with the property that the map $t \to \tilde{\Phi}(w_1,t)$ with initial condition $w_1 \in F_v$ grows more rapidly than the maps $t \to \tilde{\Phi}(w_2,t)$ for any $w_2 \in G_v$. That is, for almost any $v \in T^1S$, for any compact set $K \subset T^1S$ and for any sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of times such that $\varphi(v,t_n) \in K$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = +\infty$, one has:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1,t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}}{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_2,t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}} = \infty, \quad \text{for all non-zero} \quad w_1 \in F_v, \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 \in G_v.$$

So the section of largest expansion is defined as $\sigma^+ := Proj(F) : T^1S \to Proj(E)$. Similarly, we may define a **section σ^- of largest contraction** (see (3.1)).

An elementary argument of Linear Algebra suggests that a section $\sigma^+ = Proj(F)$ of largest expansion is attracting all the points in $Proj(E) - Proj(G)$ as they flow according to the action of the foliated geodesic flow Φ. In fact, we prove:

Theorem 1. *Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface and $\tilde{\rho} : \pi_1(S,z_0) \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ a representation having a section σ^+ of largest expansion, then $\mu^+ = \sigma^+_*(dLiouv)$ is a $\Phi-$invariant ergodic measure on $T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$ which is an SRB-measure for the foliated geodesic flow Φ of the Riccati equation, whose basin has total Lebesgue measure in $T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$. Similarly, if σ^- is the section of largest contraction, then $\mu^- = \sigma^-_*(dLiouv)$ is a $\Phi-$invariant ergodic measure which is an SRB-measure whose basin has total Lebesgue measure in $T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$, for negative times.*

In the case that both $\sigma^{\pm}$ exist, the foliated geodesic flow has a very simple 'north to south pole dynamics': almost everybody is born in μ^- and is dying on μ^+. If the sections $\sigma^{\pm}$ are continuous disjoint sections defined on all T^1S then it is easy to imagine this north to south pole dynamics (see section 7 for an example). If $\sigma^{\pm}$ are only measurable, then they describe more subtle phenomena.

The Lyapunov exponents measure the exponential rate of growth (for the metric $| \,.\, |_v$ in the vectorial fibers) of the solutions of the linear equation along the geodesics (definition 4.2):

$$\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} \frac{1}{t} \log |\tilde{\Phi}(w_0, t)|_{\varphi(v,t)}.$$

Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, $\tilde{\rho}\colon \pi_1(S, z_0) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ a representation and E the previously constructed bundle. The association of initial conditions to final conditions for the linear equation in E over the geodesic flow of S, after a measurable trivialisation of the bundle, gives rise to a measurable multiplicative cocycle over the geodesic flow on T^1S

$$\tilde{A} : T^1S \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$$

(see (2.4)). The integrability condition

$$\int_{T^1S} log^+ \|\tilde{A}_{\pm 1}\| dLiouv < +\infty, \tag{3}$$

where $\| \ \|$ is the operator norm and $\tilde{A}_t := \tilde{A}(\cdot, t)$, asserts that the amount of expansion of $\tilde{A}_{\pm}1$ is Liouville integrable.

As a consequence of the multiplicative Ergodic Theorem of Oseledec applied to the foliated geodesic flow we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, $\tilde{\rho}\colon \pi_1(S, z_0) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ a representation and let $\tilde{A}$ be the measurable multiplicative cocycle over the geodesic flow on T^1S satisfying the integrability condition* (3)*, then:*

- *The Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_k$ of $\tilde{\Phi}$ are well defined and are constant on a subset of T^1S of total Liouville measure. Denote by $F_i(v)$ the corresponding Lyapunov spaces.*
- *For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $\lambda_{k+1-i} = -\lambda_i$ and $dim(F_{k+1-i}) = dim(F_i)$.*
- *If $dim F_k = 1$, denote by σ^+ the section corresponding to F_k and σ^- the section corresponding to F_1, then $\sigma^{\pm}$ are sections of largest expansion and contraction, respectively.*

From now on by the *Lyapunov exponents of the linear equation obtained from the representation $\tilde{\rho}$* we will understand the Lyapunov exponents of the above multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}$ over the geodesic flow on T^1S obtained from the foliated geodesic flow on E and satisfying the integrability condition (3). The relationship between the section of largest expansion and the Lyapunov exponents is:

Theorem 3. *Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, $\tilde{\rho}\colon \pi_1(S,z_0) \to GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ a representation satisfying the integrability condition* (3)*, then there exists a section of largest expansion if and only if the largest Lyapunov exponent is positive and simple, if and only if the smallest Lyapunov exponent is negative and simple, and if and only if there is a section of largest contraction.*

So a section of largest expansion is an extension for non-integrable cocycles $\tilde{A}$ of the notion of having a simple largest Lyapunov exponent. We give an example of this in section 6.

In order to apply Oseledec's Theorem, the prevailing hypothesis is the integrability condition (3). This condition is always satisfied if the base Riemann surface is compact, and more generally:

Theorem 4. *If S is a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, $\tilde{\rho}$ a representation* (1) *then the multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}$ satisfies the integrability condition* (3) *if and only if the monodromy $\tilde{\rho}$ around each of the punctures of S corresponds to a matrix with all its eigenvalues of norm 1.*

We then develop two kinds of examples: The ping-pong or Schottky monodromy representations in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and the canonical representation obtained from the representation

$$\rho_{can} : \pi_1(S,z_0) \to SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \tag{4}$$

on the universal covering of the surface. We obtain:

Theorem 5. *Let S be a finite hyperbolic non-compact Riemann surface and $\rho\colon \pi_1(S,z_0) \to GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ an injective representation onto a Schottky group, then there are sections s^+ and s^- of largest expansion and contraction defined and continuous on a subset of T^1S of full Liouville measure.*

It follows from Theorem 4 that the Schottky representations in Theorem 5 do not satisfy the integrability condition (3), but we obtain that there are still sections of largest expansion and contraction. We think that the Lyapunov exponents are in this case $\pm\infty$. In fact, we can prove this assertion for specific Schottky representations.

Theorem 6. *For any finite hyperbolic Riemann surface S the foliated geodesic flow associated to the canonical representation* (4) *admits sections of largest expansion and contraction defined and smooth on all T^1S. Moreover, for Lebesgue almost any point of $Proj(E)$ the foliated geodesic starting at this point has μ^+ as its positive statistics and μ^- as its negative statistics (that is, μ^+ is the unique SRB-measure and its basin has total Lebesgue measure, and similarly μ^- for negative time).*

If S is compact then $\sigma^+(T^1S)$ is a hyperbolic attractor and $\sigma^-(T^1S)$ is a hyperbolic repellor with basins of attraction $T^1\mathcal{F} - \sigma^{\mp}(T^1S)$.

The statements and arguments presented here extend to the case when the representation $\rho : \pi_1(S) \to PGL(n,\mathbb{C})$ does not admit a lifting to a representation in $GL(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Restricting now to $n = 2$ or 3, assuming the integrability condition (3) and that the representation ρ does not leave invariant any probability measure (which is a generic condition on

ρ), it follows from Theorem 3 in [2] that the SRB-measure of the geodesic flow μ^+ is the unique measure invariant under the foliated stable horocycle flow H_ρ^{uu} that projects to the Liouville measure on S. Furthermore, it follows from the arguments in [1] and [17] that the projection to M_ρ of μ^+ is the unique harmonic measure ν of the Riccati foliation $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ that projects to the Liouville measure on S. It is shown in [2] that ν describes effectively the statistical behaviour of the leaves of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\rho$: For any compact set $K \subset M_\rho$, for any sequence $(x_n \in K)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and any sequence of real numbers $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ tending to $+\infty$ the family of probability measures $\nu_{r_n}(x_n)$ obtained by normalizing the area element on the disk $D_{r_n}(x_n)$ in the leafwise Poincaré metric converges towards ν for the weak topology when n tends to $+\infty$. If S is compact, then the integrability condition (3) is always satisfied and the condition of projecting to the Liouville measure on S is satisfied automatically by Hedlund's Theorem [13].

If S is a compact hyperbolic Riemann surface, then the foliated geodesic flow is a linear or projective multiplicative cocycle over a hyperbolic dynamical system. This led us to think that it could be possible to adapt Fustenberg's theory of the existence of a positive Lyapunov exponent for random products of matrices. This has been carried out in [3]. It seems possible that using a generalization of [3] found in [4] (simplicity of the Lyapunov spectrum) and [22] (generalization for linear cocycles over non-uniform hyperbolic measures), one may extend the above mentioned results for $n \geq 4$ and S a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface.

This paper is organised as follows. In section 1 we recall the Riccati equations and in section 2 we set up the foliated geodesic flow on Riccati equations. In section 3 we introduce SRB-measures and prove Theorem 1. In section 4 we prove Corollary 2 and Theorem 3. In section 5 we prove Theorem 4. In sections 6 and 7 we describe the examples, proving Theorems 5 and 6.

1 The Riccati Equation

1.1 Linear Ordinary Differential Equations

The classical linear ordinary differential equation is

$$\frac{dw}{dz} = A(z)w \qquad , \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},\ w \in \mathbb{C}^n \tag{1.1}$$

where $A(z)$ is a matrix of rational functions (see [6]). The fundamental property of this equation is that locally in z we can find a basis of independent solutions of (1.1) which accept analytic continuation to the universal covering space of $S := \bar{\mathbb{C}} - \mathrm{poles}(A)$ as holomorphic vector valued functions w satisfying the monodromy relation:

$$w(T_\gamma(z)) = \tilde{\rho}(\gamma)(w(z)) \qquad , \qquad \gamma \in \pi_1(S, z_0)$$

where T_γ is the covering transformation corresponding to the close loop γ and

$$\tilde{\rho} : \pi_1(S, z_0) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1.2}$$

is the monodromy representation of the equation. The linear automorphism $\tilde{\rho}(\gamma) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ contains the information of how the initial conditions are transformed to final conditions by solving (1.1) along the closed loop γ based at z_0.

Another classical construction of linear ordinary differential equations is the suspension ([16]). Assume given a hyperbolic Riemann surface S and a representation (1.2). We construct from these data a vector bundle $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ over S and an equation of type (1.1). Let $\mathbb{H}^+$ be the upper half plane, considered as the universal covering space of S, with covering transformations (4) giving rise to the canonical representation $\tilde{\rho}_{can}$ of the fundamental group of S. Consider the trivial bundle $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}^n$ on the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}^+$ and the $\pi_1(S, z_0)$-action on $\tilde{E}$

$$(z, w) \rightarrow (\tilde{\rho}_{can}(\gamma)z, \tilde{\rho}(\gamma)w) \qquad , \qquad \gamma \in \pi_1(S, z_0). \tag{1.3}$$

The quotient of $\tilde{E}$ by this action gives rise to a vector bundle $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ over S. On $\tilde{E}$ we can consider the equation given by $\tilde{A} = 0$ (i.e. $\frac{dw}{dz} = 0$). Its solutions are the constant functions. Since this equation $\tilde{A}$ is invariant under the action in (1.3), it descends to a linear ordinary differential equation on $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ which is holomorphic over S. The construction gives directly that the monodromy transformation of this equation is the given representation $\tilde{\rho}$. The graphs of the local solutions to (1.1) form a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ in $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$.

1.2 The Riccati Equation

Riccati equations may be obtained from a linear ordinary differential equation as (1.1) or (1.2) by projectivising the linear variables of the vector bundle $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ over the Riemann surface S. Denoting $\zeta_j := \frac{w_j}{w_1}$ with $j = 2, \ldots, n$, the Riccati equation associated to (1.1) in affine coordinates takes the form of a quadratic polynomial in $\zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_n$ with rational coefficients in z:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\zeta_2}{dz} \\ \cdots \\ \frac{d\zeta_n}{dz} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21} \\ \cdots \\ a_{n1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} - a_{11} & a_{23} & \cdots \\ a_{32} & a_{33} - a_{11} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & a_{nn} - a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_2 \\ \cdots \\ \zeta_n \end{pmatrix} - (a_{12}\zeta_2 + \cdots + a_{1n}\zeta_n) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_2 \\ \cdots \\ \zeta_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.4}$$

where $A = (a_{ij}(z))$ is the matrix of rational functions in (1.1). Similarly, given a representation $\tilde{\rho}$ as in (1.2) we may also construct from the projectivised representation ρ in (1) its suspension $M_\rho = Proj(E_{\tilde{\rho}})$ which gives a manifold which is a $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ bundle over S with a flat connection. The set of flat sections form a foliation $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ of M_ρ which is the projectivisation of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ in $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$. The foliations so constructed, will be called **Riccati foliations**.

2 The Foliated Geodesic Flow on Linear and Riccati Equations

2.1 The Geodesic Flow on Finite Hyperbolic Riemann Surfaces

We say that S is a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface if S is conformally equivalent to $\bar{S} - \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$, where $\bar{S}$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus g and $g > 1$ or $g = 1$ with $r \geq 1$ or $g = 0$ with $r \geq 3$. In such a case S has as a universal covering space the Poincaré upper half plane $\mathbb{H}^+$, with its complete metric of curvature -1 given by $ds = \frac{|dz|}{y}$. We introduce on S

the hyperbolic metric induced by the Poincaré metric via the universal covering map. For the measure associated to the hyperbolic metric, the surface S has finite area.

Let T^1S be the unit tangent bundle of S. The Liouville measure $dLiouv$ on T^1S is the measure obtained from the hyperbolic area element in S and Haar measure $d\theta$ on unit vectors, normalised so as to have volume 1. The geodesic flow

$$\varphi : T^1S \times \mathbb{R} \to T^1S \qquad \varphi_t := \varphi(\ ,t) \tag{2.1}$$

is obtained by flowing along the geodesics (see [14] p. 209). The geodesic flow leaves invariant the Liouville measure.

Theorem 2.1 (Hopf-Birkhoff). ([14] p. 217, 136) *Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, then the Liouville measure is ergodic with respect to the geodesic flow and the generic geodesic of S is statistically distributed in T^1S according to the Liouville measure: For all Liouville integrable functions h on T^1S and for almost any $v_z \in T^1S$ with respect to the Liouville measure*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}\int_0^t h(\varphi(v_z,t))dt = \int_{T^1S} hdLiouv$$

2.2 The Foliated Geodesic Flows

Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface, and $\tilde{\rho}$ and ρ representation as in (1) and let $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ be the foliations constructed in section 1. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ or $\mathcal{F}_\rho$, then the projection map $p : \mathcal{L} \to S$ is a covering map, and hence the pull back of the Poincaré metric of S induces a metric to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, which coincides with the Poincaré metric of each leaf $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. This is the Poincaré metric of the foliations $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ or $\mathcal{F}_\rho$.

Let $T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ be the manifolds formed by those tangent vectors to $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and M_ρ which are tangent to $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ and are of unit length with respect to the Poincaré metrics of the foliations. The derivative of the projection map $E_{\tilde{\rho}}, M_\rho \to S$ induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}} \arrow[r, "q"] \arrow[d] & E_{\tilde{\rho}} \arrow[d] \\ T^1S \arrow[r, "q"] & S \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M_\rho \arrow[d] \\ T^1S \arrow[r] & S \end{tikzcd}$$

The foliated geodesic flows $\tilde{\Phi}$ and Φ are defined by following geodesics along the leaves and is compatible with the geodesic flow φ on S, giving rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tilde{\Phi}: & T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}} \arrow[d] & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}} \arrow[d] \\ \varphi: & T^1S & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & T^1S \end{tikzcd} , \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \Phi: & T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho \arrow[d] & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho \arrow[d] \\ \varphi: & T^1S & \times & \mathbb{R} \arrow[r] & T^1S \end{tikzcd} . \tag{2.2}$$

For any $v \in T^1S$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow $\tilde{\Phi}_t := \tilde{\Phi}(\ ,t)$ induces a linear isomorphism

$$\tilde{A}(v,t) := \tilde{\Phi}(v,\ ,t)|_{E_{\tilde{\rho},v}} : E_{\tilde{\rho},v} \to E_{\tilde{\rho},\varphi(v,t)} \tag{2.3}$$

between the $\mathbb{C}^n$-fibres. After a measurable trivialisation of the bundles by choosing measurably an othonormal basis of the fibers, the foliated geodesic flows may be seen as measurable multiplicative cocycles over the geodesic flow on T^1S:

$$\tilde{A} : T^1S \times \mathbb{R} \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \quad , \quad \tilde{A}(v, t_1 + t_2) = \tilde{A}(\varphi(v, t_1), t_2)\tilde{A}(v, t_1) \quad , \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover the usual operator norm in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ coincides with the operator norm of (2.3) as Hermitian spaces with the metrics induced from the fibre bundle metric.

3 SRB-measures for Riccati Equations

3.1 SRB-measures

Let M be a differentiable manifold. The Lebesgue measure class is the set of measures whose restriction on any chart U has a smooth strictly-positive Radom-Nikodyn derivative with respect to $dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \cdots \wedge dx_n$ where the x_i are coordinates on U. A set $E \subset M$ has *zero Lebesgue measure* if there is a measure μ in the Lebesgue class such that $\mu(E) = 0$.

Let X be a complete vector field on the manifold M, and denote by φ_t its flow. A probability measure μ on M is *invariant by X* if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ one has $\varphi_{t*}(\mu) = \mu$. The **basin** $B(\mu)$ of an $X-$invariant probability μ is the set of points $x \in M$ such that the positive time average along its orbit of any continuous function $h\colon M \to \mathbb{R}$ with compact support coincides with the integral of the function by μ. In formula:

$$\lim_{T \to +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T h(\varphi_t(x))dt = \int_M h d\mu$$

.

Definition 3.1. *An $X-$invariant probability measure in M is an* **SRB-measure** *if its basin has non-zero Lebesgue measure in M.*

3.2 Key Idea to Build SRB-measures for Riccati Equations

Let S be a finite hyperbolic Riemann surface and $\tilde{\rho}$ and ρ representations as in (1) and $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ the foliations in $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and M_ρ constructed in section 2. Consider a continuous Hermitian metric $|\cdot|_x$ on the fiber $E_{\tilde{\rho},x}$ of $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and for each point $x \in S$ we endow the corresponding Fubini-Study (Hermitian) metric $|\cdot|_x$ on $M_{\rho,x} = Proj(E_{\tilde{\rho},x})$. The bundles $q^*E_{\tilde{\rho}} \simeq T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and $q^*M_\rho \simeq T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$ over T^1S are endowed in a natural way with the induced Hermitian or Fubini-Study metric, respectively.

Definition 3.2. *Under the above setting, assume that the vector bundle $E\colon = T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}} \to T^1S$ admits a measurable splitting $E_v = F_v \oplus G_v$, defined for v in a subset $\mathcal{A}$ of T^1S, and verifying the following hypothesis:*

1. *$\mathcal{A}$ has total Lebesgue measure in T^1S;*

2. *$\mathcal{A}$ is invariant by the geodesic flow φ;*

3. *the splitting is invariant by the foliated geodesic flow $\tilde{\Phi}$: for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $v \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$F_{\varphi(v,t)} = \tilde{\Phi}(F_v, t) \quad \textit{and} \quad G_{\varphi(v,t)} = \tilde{\Phi}(G_v, t);$$

4. *$dim(F_v) = 1$;*
5. *for any $v \in \mathcal{A}$, for any compact set $K \subset T^1S$ and for any sequence $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of times such that $\varphi(v, t_n) \in K$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = +\infty$, one has:*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1, t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}}{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_2, t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}} = \infty, \quad \textit{for all non-zero} \quad w_1 \in F_v, \quad \textit{and} \quad w_2 \in G_v.$$

Under the above hypothesis denote by $\sigma^+ \colon \mathcal{A} \subset T^1S \to T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$ the mesurable section defined by letting $\sigma^+(v)$ be the point of $Proj(E_v)$ corresponding to the line F_v. A section σ^+ verifying the above hypothesis is called a **section of largest expansion**.

Similarly, one defines the **section σ^- of largest contraction** by requiring

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1, t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}}{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_2, t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}} = \infty, \quad \text{for all non-zero} \quad w_1 \in F_v, \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 \in G_v. \tag{3.1}$$

with $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = -\infty$ where we are imposing the condition that the measurable sub-bundle F is 1 dimensional (i.e. greatest expansion for negative times).

Proof of Theorem 1: σ^+ induces an isomorphism of the measure $dLiouv$ and $\mu^+ = \sigma^+_* dLiouv$, so that the invariance and the ergodicity of μ^+ follow from those of $dLiouv$ and of σ^+.

Let $h \colon T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with compact support, and denote by K the projection of this compact set on T^1S. The function $h \circ \sigma^+ \colon T^1S \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable and bounded, so it belongs in $\mathcal{L}^1(dLiouv)$. As the Liouville measure is a φ ergodic probability on T^1S, there is an invariant set $Y_h \subset T^1S$ of total Lebesgue measure such that, for $v \in Y_h$, the average

$$\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h \circ \sigma^+(\varphi(v,t))dt \ \to \ \int_{T^1S} h \circ \sigma^+ dLiouv = \int_{T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho} hd\mu^+. \tag{3.2}$$

For each $v \in Y_h$ we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_h(v)$ the set of points in the fiber $y \in Proj(E_v)$ corresponding to a line of $E_v \setminus G_v$. We denote by $\mathcal{Y}_h$ the union $\mathcal{Y}_h = \bigcup_{v\in Y_h} \mathcal{Y}_h(v) \subset M_\rho$.The set $\mathcal{Y}_h$ is invariant by Φ because Y_h is invariant by φ and the bundle G is $\tilde{\Phi}-$invariant. By Fubini's theorem, the set $\mathcal{Y}_h$ has total Lebesgue measure in M_ρ.

Claim . *For every $w \in \mathcal{Y}_h$, the average $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h(\tilde{\Phi}(w,t))dt$ converges to $\int_{T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho} hd\mu^+$*

Before proving the claim let us show that this concludes the proof of Theorem 1: There is a countable family $h_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}$ of continuous functions with compact support which is dense (for the uniform topology) in the set of all continuous functions of $T^1\mathcal{F}$ with compact support. Look now at the set $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcap_0^\infty \mathcal{Y}_{h_j}$: It is invariant by Φ, has total Lebesgue measure, and is contained in the basin of μ^+ by the claim. This proves Theorem 1.

Now we prove the claim: Let $w \in \mathcal{Y}_h(v)$, for some $v \in Y_h$, and denote $w_0 = \sigma^+(v)$. As the section σ^+ is invariant by the foliated geodesic flow, for any t, $\Phi(w_0, t) = \sigma^+(\varphi(v, t))$; so for any $T \in \mathbb{R}$ the averages $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h \circ \Phi(w_0, t)dt$ and $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h \circ \sigma^+(\varphi(v, t))dt$ are equal and we get by (3.2)

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h(\Phi(w_0, t))dt = \int_{T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho} h d\mu^+.$$

Consider a non-zero vector $\tilde{w}$ in the linear space E_v in the line corresponding to w. We can write in a unique way $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}_0 + \tilde{w}_1$ where $\tilde{w}_0 \in F_v$ and $\tilde{w}_1 \in G_v$. Notice that $\tilde{w}_0 \neq 0$ projects on $w_0 \in Proj(E_v)$. By hypothesis 5 in Definition 3.2, when $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is very large, either $\varphi_t(v) \notin K$ or $\frac{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_0,t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}}{|\tilde{\Phi}(w_1,t_n)|_{\varphi(v,t_n)}}$ is very large and so the distance (for the Fubini-Study metrics) between $\Phi(w, t)$ and $\Phi(w_0, t)$ is very small, and goes to zero. Now we decompose the averages $\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T h(\varphi_t(w))dt$ in two parts, one corresponding to the times t such that $\varphi(v, t) \notin K$, and the other to the times such that $\varphi(v, t) \in K$. The first part is uniformly zero (for both w and w_0). Moreover for large t such that $\varphi(v, t) \in K$, the difference $h(\Phi(w_0, t)) - h(\Phi(w, t))$ goes to zero. So the averages of h along the orbits of w and w_0 converge to the same limit, which is $\int_{T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho} h d\mu^+$. $\square$

Remark 3.3.

1. The existence of a section of largest expansion does not depend of the choice of the continuous Hermitian metrics on the fibers.

2. Theorem does not use our specific hypotheses (2-dimensional basis, geodesic flow, holomorphic foliation). One has:

   Theorem $1'$**:** *Let B be a manifold and φ a flow on B admiting an ergodic invariant probability λ which is absolutely continuous (with strictly positive density) with respect to Lebesgue measure. Let $\tilde{\rho}\colon \pi_1(B) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a representation, $(E_{\tilde{\rho}}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\tilde{\rho}})$ be the vector bundle endowed with the suspension foliation, and $M_\rho = (Proj(E_{\tilde{\rho}}), \mathcal{F}_\rho)$ the suspension of the corresponding representation $\rho\colon \pi_1(B) \to PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let Φ be the lift of the flow φ to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}_\rho$. If the bundle $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$ admits a section σ^+ of largest expansion then $\sigma^+_*(\lambda)$ is an SRB-measure of the flow Φ, whose basin has total Lebesgue measure in M_ρ.*

3. The geodesic flow (and the foliated geodesic flow) have a symmetry: denote by I the involution map on the unit tangent bundle sending each vector v to $-v$ and $\tilde{I}$ the involution $\tilde{I}(w_v) = -w_v$ on $T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$. Then I is a conjugation between the geodesic flow and its inverse $I \circ \varphi_t \circ I = \varphi_{-t}$. This shows that $\sigma^- = \tilde{I} \circ \sigma^+ \circ I$ is a section of largest expansion for the negative geodesic flow, and $\mu^- = \sigma^-_*(dLiouv)$ will be an SRB-measure for the negative orbits of the geodesic flow. Then Lebesgue almost every orbit in $T^1\mathcal{F}$ has negative average converging to μ^- and positive average converging to μ^+.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $E_{\tilde{\rho}} = F \oplus G$ be a $\tilde{\Phi}$-invariant measurable splitting giving rise to a section of largest expansion $\sigma^+ := proj(F)$, then the decomposition is measurably unique (i.e. over a set of full Liouville measure in T^1S).*

Proof: Let $E_{\tilde{\rho}} = F_1 \oplus G_1$ be a $\tilde{\Phi}$-invariant measurable splitting giving rise to a section of largest expansion, $\sigma^+_1 := proj(F_1)$. The line bundle F_1 is not contained in G, for if it were contained, then the order of growth of σ^+ would be larger than the order of growth of σ^+_1. But then G_1 would not be a subset of G and any initial condition in $G_1 - G$ has the same order of growth than σ^+, which is larger than the order of growth of sections in G, like σ_1^+, contradicting that the order of growth of σ_1^+ is larger than the order of growth of any section in G_1.

Assume that $F \neq F_1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ define the subset

$$H_\varepsilon := \{v \in T^1S \;/\; dist(\sigma^+(v), G_v) > \varepsilon \;,\; dist(\sigma_1^+(v), G_v) > \varepsilon \;,\; dist(\sigma^+(v), \sigma_1^+(v)) > \varepsilon \;\}$$

where the distances are measured in the Fubini-Study metrics of $Proj(E_v)$. For small ε the set H_ε will have positive Liouville measure. But since the Liouville measure is ergodic, almost all points in H_ε are recurrent. But this cannot be, since both σ^+ and σ_1^+ are invariant and as time increases the component in F_v grows much more than the component on G_v so that in $Proj(E_v)$ the sections σ^+ and σ_1^+ are getting closer which contradicts the condition $dist(\sigma^+(v), \sigma_1^+(v)) > \varepsilon$. Hence we must have $F = F_1$ (Liouville almost everywhere), as well as $\sigma^+ = \sigma_1^+$. Now G is uniquely determined by σ^+, since any section outside G has the same order of growth as σ^+, and those on G have smaller order of growth. □

4 Using Oseledec's Theorem

4.1 A Corollary of Oseledec's Theorem

Let

$$f : B \to B \qquad , \qquad A\colon B \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$$

be measurable maps. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in B$ we denote

$$A^n(x) = A(f^{n-1}(x)) \cdots A(f(x))A(x) \text{ and } A^{-n}(x) = [A^n(f^{-n}(x))]^{-1}.$$

One says that the family $\{A^n\}$ form a multiplicative cocycle over f.

Definition 4.1. *A point $x \in B$ has Lyapunov exponents for the multiplicative cocycle $\{A^n\}$ over f if there exists $0 < k \leq n$ and for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ there is $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and a subspace F_i of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that:*

1. $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigoplus_i F_i$
2. *For any i and any non zero vector $v \in F_i$ one has*

$$\lim_{n \to \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(|A^n(v)|) = \pm\lambda_i$$

Oseledec's Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem ([14],p.666-667): Let $f : B \to B$ be an invertible measurable transformation, μ an $f-$invariant probability measure and A a mesurable multiplicative cocycle over f. Assume that the functions $\log^+ \|A\|$ and $\log^+ \|A^{-1}\|$ belong to $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$. Then the set of points for which the Lyapunov exponents of A are well defined has μ-measure 1. If μ is ergodic the Lyapunov exponents are independent of the point in a set of total $\mu-$measure.

The Lyapunov exponents and the Lyapunov spaces above depend measurably of $x \in B$ on a set of $\mu-$total measure (see [14] p.666-667). When the measure μ in Oseledec's Theorem is ergodic, we can then speak of the *Lyapunov exponents of the measure* μ.

We want to use Oseledec's Theorem for flows when the base manifold is non-compact. Let φ be a complete flow on the manifold B, $\pi\colon E \to B$ a vector bundle over B and $\tilde{\Phi}$ be a flow on E inducing a multiplicative cocycle as in (2.4) over φ.

Definition 4.2. *We say that the Lyapunov exponents of* $\tilde{\Phi}$ *are well defined at a point* $v \in B$ *if there is a continuous Euclidean or Hermitian metric on the bundle* E*, a finite sequence* $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_k$ *and a* $\tilde{\Phi}$*-invariant splitting* $E(v) = F_1(v) \oplus \cdots \oplus F_k(v)$ *such that, for any non zero vector* $w \in F_i(v)$*, any compact* $K \subset B$ *and any sequence* $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *with* $lim_{n\to\pm\infty} t_n = \pm\infty$ *and* $\varphi(v, t_n) \in K$ *one has:*

$$\lim_{n\to\pm\infty} \frac{1}{t_n} \log(|\tilde{\Phi}(w, t_n)|) = \pm\lambda_i.$$

The existence and the value of the Lyapunov exponents does not depend of the continuous metric on the vector bundle E; moreover we can allow the metric to be discontinuous if the change of metric to a continuous reference metric is bounded on compact sets of the basis B.

Lemma 4.3. *With the notation above the Lyapunov exponents of* $v \in B$ *for the flow* $\tilde{\Phi}$ *are well defined if and only if they are well defined for the multiplicative cocycle* $\{\tilde{A}_1^n\}$ *over* φ_1 *defined by the diffeomorphism* $\tilde{\Phi}_1$*. Moreover the Lyapunov exponents and spaces are equal for the flow and the diffeomorphism.*

Proof: One direction is clear, so we will assume that the diffeomorphism Φ_1 has Lyapunov exponents on v. As the flow φ is complete, for any compact set $K \subset B$ the union $K_1 = \bigcup_{t\in[-1,1]} \varphi(K, t)$ is compact. Moreover for each t_n such that $\varphi(v, t_n) \in K$, let T_n be the integer part of t_n, then $\varphi_1^{t_n - T_n}(v) \in K_1$. We conclude the proof noticing that

$$\tilde{A}(v, t_n) = \tilde{A}(\varphi_1^{t_N - T_n}(v), T_n)\tilde{A}(v, t_n - T_n)$$

and that the norm of $\tilde{A}(*, T_n)$ is uniformly bounded over K_1 independently of $t_n - T_n \in [0, 1]$. □

Definition 4.4. *Let* μ *be a* $\varphi-$*invariant probability on* B*. We say that the flow* $\tilde{\Phi}$ *defining a measurable multiplicative cocycle* (2.4) *is* $\mu-$*integrable if there is a continuous norm* $|\cdot|$ *on the vector bundle* E *such that the functions* $\log^+ \|\tilde{A}_1\|$ *and* $\log^+ \|\tilde{A}_{-1}\|$ *belong to* $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$*, where* $\|\ \|$ *is the operator norm on the normed vector spaces.*

The condition of integrability of the norm of the multiplicative cocycle is always verified if the manifold B is compact.

Proof of Corollary 2: Consider $f = \varphi_1$, the time 1 of the geodesic flow on T^1S, and let $\tilde{A}(v)\colon E_v \to E_{f(v)}$ the linear multiplicative cocycle induced on the vector bundle $T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ by $\tilde{\rho}$ in Oseledec's Theorem. By hypothesis, this multiplicative cocycle is integrable so that the Lyapunov exponent of the multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}$ are well defined for a Liouville total measure set by Lemma 4.3. The Lyapunov exponents and spaces depend measurably of $v \in T^1S$ which are invariant respectively by φ and $\tilde{\Phi}$. As the Liouville measure is ergodic, the Lyapunov exponents are constant on a set of total Liouville measure. This ends the proof of item 1.

The proof of item 2 is a direct consequence of the symmetry of the flow Φ: $\tilde{I} \circ \Phi_t \circ \tilde{I} = \Phi_{-t}$ (see item 3 in remark 3.3). With the hypothesis of item 3 the section σ^+ is clearly a section of largest expansion so that item 3 is a direct consequence of Theorem 1. $\square$

A direct corollary of Theorem $1'$ and Oseledec's Theorem is the following

Corollary $2'$**:***Let f be a diffeomorphism of a manifold B, admitting an invariant ergodic probability λ in the class of Lebesgue and let E be an $n-$dimensional vector bundle over the basis B and M the corresponding projective bundle. Assume that $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a diffeomorphism of E leaving invariant the linear fibration, inducing linear maps on the fibers and whose projection on B is the diffeomorphism f. We denote by Ψ the induced diffeomorphism on M.*

Let U_i be a covering of B by trivializing charts of the bundle E: then writing Ψ in these charts we get a multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}$: $B \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Assume that $log^+\|\tilde{A}\|$ and $log^+\|\tilde{A}^{-1}\|$ belong to $\mathcal{L}^1(\lambda)$ and that the largest Lyapunov exponent of the measure λ for the multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}$ corresponds to a 1 dimensional space. Denote by σ^+ the corresponding measurable section defined on a Lebegue total measure set of B to M.

Then $\sigma^+_*(\lambda)$ is an SRB-measure for Ψ and its basin has total Lebesgue measure in M. $\square$

4.2 Proof of Theorem 3

Proof: Due to Corollary 2 and the Remark 3.2, the only thing that remains to be proved is that, under the integrablity condition (3), if there is a section of largest expansion then the largest Lyapunov exponent is positive and simple.

We begin first with the case that S is compact. So assume that there is a section σ^+ of largest expansion providing a measurable decomposition $E_{\tilde{\rho}} = F \oplus G$, $\sigma^+ := Proj(F)$ and let λ_i and F_i be the Lyapunov exponents and spaces as in Corollary 2. We have $F \subset F_k$, corresponding to the greatest eigenvalue λ_k, and denote by H the measurable bundle $F_k \cap G$ of dimension $n_k - 1$. Assume that the dimension n_k of F_k is at least 2, and we will argue to obtain a contradiction to this assumption.

Since the foliated geodesic flow leaves invariant the measurable bundle F_k, after a measurable trivialisation we will obtain a measurable cocycle

$$B : T^1S \times \mathbb{R} \to GL(n_k, \mathbb{C})$$

which carries the information of how initial conditions are transformed into final conditions, when starting from the point $v \in T^1S$, $w \in F_{k,v}$, and flowing a time t along the geodesic.

Recall that we have introduced a Hermitian metric on the bundle $E_{\tilde{\rho}}$, by pull back in the bundle $q^*E_{\tilde{\rho}} = T^1\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ and by restriction into the bundle F_k. Recall also that if we have a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map L between Hermitian spaces, the determinant $det(L, W)$ of L on a subspace W is by definition the quotient of the volumes of the paralelograms determined by $Lw_1, \dots, Lw_m, iLw_1, \dots, iLw_m$ and $w_1, \dots w_m, iw_1, \dots, iw_m$ corresponding to any $\mathbb{C}$-basis

$w_1, \ldots, w_m$ of W. Define

$$\Delta^m : T^1S \to \mathbb{R} \qquad , \qquad \Delta^m(v) := \frac{det(B(v,m), F_v)^{n_k-1}}{det(B(v,m), H_v)}$$

and note that the cocycle condition (2.4) for B and the $\tilde{\Phi}$-invariance of H and F gives the multiplicative condition

$$\Delta^m(v) = \Delta(\varphi(v, m-1))\Delta(\varphi(v, m-2)) \cdots \Delta(v) \quad , \quad \Delta := \Delta^1. \tag{4.1}$$

The volume in H has exponential rate of growth $(n_k - 1)\lambda_k$, since it is the Lyapunov exponent of $\Lambda^{n_k-1}H$. The exponential rate of growth of F is λ_k, hence

$$\int_{T^1S} \log(\Delta) dLiouv = (n_k - 1)\lambda_k - \lambda_k - \ldots - \lambda_k = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Now we need the following corollary of a general statement from Ergodic Theory, (see [15], Corollary 1.6.10):

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\varphi : B \to B$ be a measurable transformation preserving a probability measure ν in B, and $g : B \to \mathbb{R}$ a ν-integrable function such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (g \circ \varphi^j) = \infty$ at ν-almost every point, then $\int_B g d\nu > 0$.*

Proof: Consider the set

$$A := \{v \in T^1S \ / \ \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (g \circ \varphi^j)(v) > 0, \ \forall \ell \geq 0\},$$

and for $v \in A$ let

$$S_*g(v) := \inf_{\ell} \{\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (g \circ \varphi^j)(v)\}.$$

A has a strictly positive ν measure since almost any orbit will have a point in A, and S_*g is a measurable function on A which is strictly positive. By Corollary 1.6.10 in [15] we have

$$\int_B g d\nu = \int_A S_* g d\nu,$$

but this last number is strictly positive, since we are integrating a strictly positive function over a set of positive measure. □

We want to apply the above Lemma to $(X, \nu) = (T^1S, dLiouv)$ and $g = \log \Delta$. Note that the multiplicative relation (4.1) implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \log \Delta(\varphi_j(v)) = \log \Delta^m(v) \tag{4.3}$$

The hypothesis on the growth of the section σ^+ implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \log \Delta^m(v) \to \infty$. But using (4.3) this is the hypothesis in the Lemma, so as a conclusion of it we obtain that

$$\int_{T^1S} \log(\Delta) dLiouv > 0,$$

which contradicts (4.2). Hence F_k has dimension 1, so that the largest Lyapunov exponent is simple.

Assume now that S is not compact. According to Lemma 4.3, it is sufficient to consider the integrability condition for the time 1 flow φ_1. Let K be a compact set of positive Liouville measure in T^1S and partition

$$K_m := \{v \in K \ / \ \varphi^j(v) \notin K, j = 1, \cdots, m-1, \ \varphi^m(j) \in K\}$$

according to the time of the first return to K. Define the multiplicative cocycle generated by

$$C : K \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \qquad , \qquad C(v) := \tilde{A}_1^m(v) \qquad , \qquad v \in K_m$$

corresponding to the first return map to K. Since

$$C(v) = \tilde{A}_1(\varphi^{m-1}(v)) \dots \tilde{A}_1(\varphi(v))\tilde{A}_1(v),$$

we have

$$\log^+(\|C(v)\|) \leq \log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi^{m-1}(v))\|) + \dots + \log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi(v))\|) + \log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(v)\|)),$$

and hence on K we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{K_m} \log^+(\|C(v)\|) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [\log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi^{m-1}(v))\|) + \dots + \log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi(v))\|) + \log^+(\tilde{A}_1(v))] \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{T^1S} \log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1(v)\|)$$

since the sets

$$\varphi_j(K_m) \qquad , \qquad j = 0, \dots, m-1, \qquad , \qquad m = 1, \dots$$

are disjoint. Hence the cocycle generated by C is integrable, and we may repeat the argument presented for the case that T^1S is compact.

□

5 Using Oseledec's Theorem in the Non-compact case

The objective of this paragraph is to prove Theorem 4. The proof of the parts "if" and "only if" are given by some estimates over the punctured disc $\mathbb{D}^*$. As both proofs are long, we will treat them separately. The common argument is the following estimate about the geodesic flow of $\mathbb{D}^*$.

5.1 Estimates on the Geodesic Flow on a Punctured Disc

Denote by $\mathbb{D}^*$ the punctured disc endowed with the usual complete metric of curvature -1, that is, its universal cover is the Poincaré half plane $\mathbb{H}^+$ with covering group generated by the translation $T(z) = z + 1$ and define

$$D^* := \frac{\{z \in \mathbb{H}^+ \ / \ Im(z) > 1\}}{(T^n)} \subset \mathbb{D}^* \qquad , \qquad S^1 := \partial D^* = \frac{\{z \in \mathbb{H}^+ \ / \ Im(z) = 1\}}{(T^n)} \subset \mathbb{D}^*.$$

$$\bar{D^*} := \frac{\{z \in \mathbb{H}^+ \ / \ Im(z) \geq 1\}}{(T^n)} \subset \mathbb{D}^*$$

A unit vector $u \in T^1D^*$ at a point $z \in D^*$ is called a *radial vector* if $u \in \mathbb{R}w\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$. Note that for any non-radial vector $u \in T^1D^*$ the geodesic γ_u through u in $\bar{D^*}$ is a compact segment γ_u whose extremities are on the circle S^1. We will denote the tangent vector of the geodesic γ_u on S^1 by $\alpha(u)$ (the incoming) and $\omega(u)$ (the outgoing), and let $t(u)$ be the lenght of γ_u. The set of radial vectors has zero Lebesgue measure. We will denote by M the set of nonradial unit vectors on $T^1\mathbb{D}^*|_{\bar{D^*}}$ and by N the subset of M over the circle S^1. We denote N^+ the set of vectors in N pointing inside D^* and by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(u,t), u \in N^+, t \in [0, t(u)]\} \subset N^+ \times [0, +\infty[.$$

The geodesic flow φ on $T^1\mathbb{D}^*$ induces a natural map $F \colon \mathcal{A} \to M$ defined by $F(u,t) = \varphi(u,t)$. The unit tangent bundle over S^1 admits natural coordinates : If u is a unit vector at w we will denote $\theta(u)$ the argument of w, and $\eta(u)$ the angle between u and the radial vector $-z\partial/\partial z$. We denote by μ the measure on $\mathcal{A}$ defined by $d\mu = \cos(\eta) \cdot d\theta \wedge d\eta \wedge dt$

Lemma 5.1. *The Liouville measure on T^1D^* is $F_*(d\mu)$ (up to a multiplicative constant).*

Proof: The measure $F_*^{-1}(dLiouv) := hd\theta \wedge d\eta \wedge dt$ for a certain function h. Since the Liouville measure is invariant under the geodesic flow, and in M the geodesic flow has the expression $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, then h is independent of t. Since the Liouville measure is invariant under rotations in θ then h is also independent of θ. Hence h is only a function of η. To compute the value of h it is enough to compute for an arbitrary η at a point in N^+. We have $F_*(d\theta \wedge dt) = h(\eta)dArea$. The variable θ is parametrized according to geodesic length and since the angle between the vertical and the geodesic at $Im(z) = 1$ is η, we project the tangent vector to the geodesic to the vertical direction to obtain the weight $cos(\eta)$. □

We will denote by μ_0 the measure on N^+ defined by $d\mu_0 = d\theta \wedge d\eta$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\tilde{A}_t : T^1D^* \times \mathbb{R} \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a linear multiplicative cocycle over the geodesic flow of D^*. For every unit vector $u \in N^+$, we denote*

$$B : N^+ \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \qquad , \qquad B(u) = \tilde{A}_{t(u)}(u)$$

the matrix corresponding to the geodesic γ_u of length $t(u)$ going from $\alpha(u)$ to $\beta(u)$. Then the two following sentences are equivalent:

1. *There is a Hermitian metric* $|\cdot|$ *on the vector bundle over* T^1D^* *such that the multiplicative cocycle* $\tilde{A}_1$ *is integrable for Liouville, that is*

$$\int_{T^1D^*} \log^+ \|\tilde{A}_{\pm 1}\| dLiouv < +\infty. \tag{5.1}$$

2. *The function* $\log^+(\|B\|)$ *belongs to* $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu_0)$*, that is*

$$\int_{N^+} \log^+(\|B(u)\|) d\mu_0 < +\infty. \tag{5.2}$$

Remark 5.3. (5.2) *does not depend of the choice of the continuous Euclidean metric : Two continuous Hermitian metrics* $|\cdot|_1$ *and* $|\cdot|_2$ *on the bundle over* $T^1\mathbb{D}^*|_{\partial D^*}$ *are equivalent because* ∂D^* *is compact, so that the difference* $|\log(\|B(u)\|_1)| - |\log(\|B(u)\|_2)|$ *is uniformly bounded on* N^+.

Proof: For every $u \in N^+$ set $t_u := t(u)$, and divide the interval $[0, t_u]$ in

$$[0,1] \cup [1,2] \cup \cdots \cup [E(t_u)-1, E(t_u)] \cup [E(t_u), t_u],$$

so that if u is a vector at a point $x \in \partial D^*$ one gets on setting $\varphi := \varphi_1$ the geodesic flow at time 1:

$$B(u) = \tilde{A}_{t_u - E(t_u)}(\varphi^{E(t_u)}(u) \circ \prod_0^{E(t_u)-1} \tilde{A}_1(\varphi^i(u))$$

So for any Hermitian norm $|\cdot|$ we get

$$\|B(u)\| \leq \|\tilde{A}_{t_u - E(t_u)}(\varphi^{E(t_u)}(u))\| \prod_0^{E(t_u)-1} \|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi^i(u))\|$$

So

$$\log^+(\|B(u)\|) \leq \log^+ \|\tilde{A}_{t_u - E(t_u)}(\varphi^{E(t_u)}(u))\| + \sum_0^{E(t_u)-1} \log^+ \|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi^i(u))\|$$

Remark that $\log^+ \|\tilde{A}_{t_u - E(t_u)}(\varphi^{E(t_u)}(u))\|$ is uniformly bounded by a constant K depending on $\tilde{A}$ and $|\cdot|$, because $t_u - E(t_u) \in [0, 1[$ and $\varphi^{E(t_u)}(u) = \varphi_{E(t_u)-t_u}(\varphi_{t_u}(u))$ remains in a compact set (recall that $\varphi_{t_u}(u) \in \partial D^*$). So we get that there is a constant K_1 such that for every $u \in N^+$ one has

$$\log^+(\|B(u)\|) \leq K_1 + \int_0^{t_u} \log^+ \|\tilde{A}_1(\varphi_t(x))\| dt$$

Notice now that, for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1[$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $\cos(\eta) \leq \varepsilon$ then $t_u \leq \delta$. So it is equivalent that the function $\log^+(\|B\|)$ is integrable for the measure $d\mu_0$ or for $\cos(\eta) d\theta \wedge d\eta$.

Hence we obtain that if $\int_{N^+} \log^+(\|B\|) d\mu_0 = +\infty$ then for any Riemannian metric $|\cdot|_2$ the function $\log^+(\|\tilde{A}_1\|_2)$ is not Liouville integrable. We have proven that item 1 $\implies$ item 2.

For the other implication, choose a continuous Riemannian metric on the bundle over N, assume the integrability condition (5.2) and let $v \in T^1\mathbb{D}|_{\bar{D}^*}$. If v is a radial vector, then push forward the metric over $\alpha(v)$ along the geodesic using the flat structure of the bundle. If v is not a radial vector then push forward the metric on $\alpha(v)$ on the first third of γ_v, on the last third of the geodesic push forward the metric on $\omega(u)$ and on the middle third of γ_u put the corresponding convex combination of the metrics on $\alpha(u)$ and $\omega(u)$. This produces a continuous metric on the bundle over $T^1\mathbb{D}|_{\bar{D}^*}$ such that $\|\tilde{A}_{\pm 1}\|$ does not expand except in the middle part, and there it expands in a constant way. Hence for this metric the integral (5.2) coincides with (5.1). $\square$

To use Proposition 5.2 we will need to estimate $\|B(u)\|, \quad u \in N^+$. For that we will use the following estimate of t_u and the estimate of the variation of the argument along the geodesic γ_u:

Proposition 5.4. *1. There is a constant T such that $t_u \in [-2\log|\eta| - T, -2\log|\eta| + T]$.*

2. Denote by a_u the variation of the argument along γ_u. Then $a_u = 2\frac{\cos\eta}{\sin\eta}$

Proof: The easiest way is to look at the universal cover $\mathbb{H}$. Recall that in this model the geodesic for the hyperbolic metric are circles or straight lines (for the Euclidean metric) orthogonal to the real line. Let $u \in E_1^+$ at a point $x \in \partial D^*$. Denote by u the corresponding vector at a point $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{H}$, $Im(x) = 1$, where $\tilde{x}$ is a lift of x. The angle $\eta(u)$ is the angle between the vector and the vertical line. Consider the geodesic $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ throught u. The Euclidean radius R_u of this circle verifies $1 = |\sin(\eta)| \cdot R_u$. Now denote by $\tilde{y} \neq \tilde{x}$ the intersection point of $\tilde{\gamma}_u$ with the boundary $Im(z) = 1$ of D^*. Then $a_u = \tilde{y} - \tilde{x} = 2\frac{\cos(\eta)}{\sin(\eta)}$. So the second item of Proposition 5.4 is proved.

To give an estimate of t_u let us consider the following curve σ_u joining the points $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{y}$: $\tilde{\sigma}_u$ is the union of the vertical segment σ_u^1 joining $\tilde{x} = (\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{x}), 1)$ to $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{x}), R_u)$ the horizontal segment σ_2^u joining $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{x}), R_u)$ to $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{y}), R_u)$ and the vertical segment σ_u^3 joining $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{y}), R_u)$ to $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{y}), 1) = \tilde{y}$.

The hyperbolic length of the vertical segments is $\log(R_u)$. The hyperbolic length of the horizontal segment is $\frac{|a_u|}{R_u} = 2cos(\eta)$. So we get:

$$\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_u) < \ell(\sigma_u) = -2\log(|\sin(\eta)|) + 2\cos(\eta)$$

On the other hand, consider the point $z_u \in \gamma_u$ whose imaginary part is R_u. This point is the middle of the horizontal segment of σ_u. Denote by γ_u^0 the segment of γ_u joining $\tilde{x}$ to z_u and σ_u^0 the segment of σ_u^2 joining zu to the point $(\mathcal{R}e(\tilde{y}), R_u)$. The union of these 2 segments is a segment joining the two extremities of σ_u^1 which is a geodesic. So we get

$$-\log(|\sin(\eta)|) < \ell(\gamma_u^0) + \ell(\sigma_u^0) = \frac{1}{2}\ell(\tilde{\gamma}_u) + \cos(\eta).$$

So we get

$$t_u = \ell(\tilde{\gamma}_u) \in [-2\log(|\sin(\eta)|) - 2\cos(\eta), -2\log(|\sin(\eta)|) + 2\cos(\eta)]$$

So

$$t_u \in [-2\log(|\sin(\eta)|) - 2, -2\log(|\sin(\eta)|) + 2]$$

To conclude the first item it is enought to note that $|\log(|\eta|)-\log(|\sin(\eta)|)|$ is bounded for $\eta\in[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$. $\square$

5.2 The Parabolic Case

Proposition 5.5. *If for each i all the eigenvalues of $\rho(\gamma_i)$ have modulus 1, then the multiplicative cocycle flow is integrable.*

As the function $\log^+|\tilde{A}_1|$ is continuous, it is integrable for the Liouville measure over every compact set of T^1S. So the problem is purely local, in the neighbourhood of the punctures of S. So it is enough to look at a multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}_t$ over the geodesic flow of the punctured disc D^*. The proposition is a direct corollary of the following proposition:

Proposition 5.6. *Let $B\in GL(n,\mathbb{C})$ be a matrix and $\mathcal{F}_B$ be the corresponding suspension foliation over $\mathbb{D}^*$ (as B is isotopic to identity the foliation $\mathcal{F}_B$ is on $\mathbb{D}^*\times\mathbb{C}^n$), and denote by $\tilde{A}_t$ the linear multiplicative cocycle over the geodesic flow φ of $\mathbb{D}^*$ induced by $\mathcal{F}_B$. Assume that all the eigenvalues of B have modulus equal to 1. Then the functions $\log^+(\|\tilde{A}_{\pm1}\|)$ are in $\mathcal{L}^1(dLiouv|_{D^*})$.*

We begin the proof of Proposition 5.6 by the following remarks allowing us to reduce the proof to an easier case:

Remark 5.7.

1. If two matrices B_1 and B_2 are conjugate then the corresponding cocycles are both integrable or both non-integrable.
2. If B is a matrix on $\mathbb{C}^k\times\mathbb{C}^m$ leaving invariant $\mathbb{C}^k\times\{0\}$ and $\{0\}\times\mathbb{C}^m$, then the multiplicative cocycle induce by B is integrable if and only if the cocycles induced by the restrictions of B to $\mathbb{C}^k\times\{0\}$ and $\{0\}\times\mathbb{C}^m$ are both integrable.
3. As a consequence of item 2, we can assume that B is a matrix which doesn't leave invariant any splitting of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in a direct sum of non-trivial subspaces. In particular B has a unique eigenvalue λ_B and by hypothesis $|\lambda_B|=1$. Moreover two such matrices are conjugate: their Jordan form is
$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_B & 1 & & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_B & 1 & \cdots & & 0 \\ \cdots & & & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_B & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \lambda_B \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the remarks above, it is enough to prove Proposition 5.6 for the matrices B_θ define as follows. Let
$$A_\theta=\begin{pmatrix} i\theta & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i\theta & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & & & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & i\theta & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & i\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define $B_\theta = exp(A_\theta)$. Notice that

$$exp(t \cdot A_\theta) = e^{it\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & & \cdots & \frac{t^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} & \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & t & \cdots & & \frac{t^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \\ \cdots & & & & & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the holomorphic foliation defined by the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{z} \\ \dot{w} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & A_\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

on $\mathbb{D}^* \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that the holonomy map from $\{e^{-2\pi}\} \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \{z\} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $z \in S^1$ is $exp(arg(z)A_\theta)$. The monodromy of this foliation is $B_\theta = e^{2i\pi\theta} exp(2\pi A_0)$.

Lemma 5.8. *The multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}_t$ obtained by lifting the geodesic flow of $\mathbb{D}^*$ on the leaves of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\theta$ is integrable over $T^1\mathbb{D}|_{D^*}$.*

Proof: For any $u \in N^+$ one has $B(u) = A_{t_u}(u) = exp(\frac{a_u}{2\pi} \cdot A_\theta)$, so that there is a constant K such that $\|B(u)\| < K(1 + a_u^{n-1})$, so that $\log^+ \|B(u)\|$ is integrable if and only if $\log^+(|a_u|)$ is integrable for μ_0.

By Proposition 5.4 one has $a_u = 2\cos(\eta)/\sin(\eta)$ so that $a_u < 2/\eta$. As $\int_{-1}^1 |\log(|1/x|)|dx < +\infty$, we get easily that $\int_{N_1^+} \log^+(|a_u|)d\mu_0 < +\infty$, concluding the proof. □

5.3 The Hyperbolic Case

Proposition 5.9. *If there is i such that the matrix $B = \rho(\gamma_i)$ has an eigenvalue with modulus different from 1, then the multiplicative cocycle is not integrable.*

If $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ has an eigenvalue with modulus different from 1, we may suppose that its modulus is greater than 1, since the suspension of B and B^{-1} are isomorphic. As in the parabolic case the proof of Proposition 5.9 follows directly from a local argument in a neighbourhood of the puncture corresponding to γ_i.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $B \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ having an eigenvalue $\lambda > 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_B$ the suspension folition on D^*. Then the multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}_t$ induced by $\mathcal{F}_B$ over the geodesic flow φ of D^* is not integrable.*

Proof: We begin by an estimate of the norm of the multiplicative cocycle corresponding to the "in-out" map :

Lemma 5.11. *There is a constant $K > 0$ such that for any $u \in N^+$ one has:*

$$|\tilde{A}_{t_u}(u)| \geq K \cdot \lambda^{a_u/2}.$$

So $\log^+ |\tilde{A}_{t_u}(u)| \geq \log K + \frac{|a_u|}{2} \log \lambda$. One deduces that $\log^+ |\tilde{A}_{t_u}(u)|$ cannot be μ_0-integrable if $|a_u|$ is not integrable. By Proposition 5.4 one knows that $a_u = 2\frac{\cos(\eta)}{\sin(\eta)}$ and this function is not integrable for $d\mu_0 = d\eta \wedge d\theta$. From Proposition 5.2 we get that the multiplicative cocycle $\tilde{A}_1$ is not integrable for Liouville, finishing the proof the Proposition 5.10. □

Remark: If $\rho : \pi_1(S) \to PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation that does not admit a lifting to a representation in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ we may still define a flat bundle over S but with fibres $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ and transition coordinates in $SL(n, \mathbb{C})/\mathbb{Z}_n \cdot Id$, and hence a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\rho}}$ on this singular bundle, where $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is the group of n roots of unity. We may introduce a continuous Hermitian norm on this bundle (locally induced from a Hermitian norm in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as well as choosing a trivialisation of the generator of the discrete dynamics $\tilde{A}_1$, and the statements and arguments given in the text extend to this situation.

6 Ping-pong and Schottky Monodromy Representations

The ping-pong is a classical technique used to verify that a finitely generated group of transformation of some space is a free group. When the space is a metric space additional geometric information on the ping-pong allows one to describe almost completely the topological dynamics of this group of transformations. We will use this technique to describe the foliated geodesic flow associated to an injective representation ρ from $\pi_1(S)$ to a Schottky group $\Gamma \subset PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

6.1 The Ping-pong

Let us first recall some basic properties and definitions on the ping-pong.

Definition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a set, $k > 1$ and for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ let $f_i \colon \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ be a bijection. We say that* the group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Bij}(\mathcal{E})$ generated by $f_1, \dots, f_k$ is a ping-pong (for this system of generators) *if for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ there exist subsets A_i, B_i of $\mathcal{E}$ such that the following properties are verified:*

- *The family $\{A_i, B_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$ is a family of mutualy disjoint subsets of $\mathcal{E}$,*
- *for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ one has $f_i(\mathcal{E} \setminus A_i) \subset B_i$.*

Denote by $\mathbb{F}_k$ the free group with k generators $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$. The first result on the ping-pong is:

Proposition 6.2. *If a group $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Bij}(\mathcal{E})$ is a ping-pong group for the generators $f_1, \dots, f_k$ then the morphism $\varphi \colon \mathbb{F}_k \to \Gamma$ defined by $\varphi(e_i) = f_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof: Let $i_1, \dots, i_m \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, and $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$ be such that the word $e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots e_{i_m}^{\varepsilon_m}$ is a reduced word in $\mathbb{F}_k$. We have to prove that the bijection $f = f_{i_m}^{\varepsilon_m} \circ \cdots \circ f_{i_i}^{\varepsilon_1} = \varphi(e_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots e_{i_m}^{\varepsilon_m})$ is different from identity. For instance assume that $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. Then, using that the word is a reduced word, one easily shows (by induction on m) that $f(\mathcal{E} \setminus A_{i_1})$ is included in one of the sets A_{i_m} or B_{i_m}. As $k > 1$, $f(\mathcal{E} \setminus A_{i_1})$ is not included in one element of $\{A_i, B_i, i \in \{1, \dots, k\}\}$, so f is not the identity. □

Assume now that $(\mathcal{E}, d)$ is a compact metric space, the f_i are homeomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}$, every A_i, B_i is compact, and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ the restrictions of f_i and f_i^{-1} to $\mathcal{E} \setminus A_i$ and $\mathcal{E} \setminus B_i$, respectively, are contractions for the distance d: we will say that $(\mathcal{E}, d, \{f_i\})$ is a *compact contracting ping-pong.*

For any $g \in \{f_i, f_i^{-1}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we denote by $C(g) = B_i$, and $C'(g) = A_i$ if $g = f_i$ and $C(g) = A_i$ and $C'(g) = B_i$ if $g = f_i^{-1}$, so that for every g one has $g(\mathcal{E} \setminus C'(g)) \subset C(g)$. Note that if $g_1 \neq g_2^{-1}$ then $g_2(C(g_1)) \subset C(g_2)$ so that $g_2 \circ g_1(\mathcal{E} \setminus C'(g_1)) \subset C(g_2)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(\mathcal{E}, d, \{f_i\})$ be a compact contracting ping-pong. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every reduced word $g_\ell \circ \dots \circ g_1$, $g_i \in \{f_i, f_i^{-1}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ one has*

$$\mathit{diam}(g_\ell \circ \dots \circ g_1(\mathcal{E} \setminus C'(g_1))) < \varepsilon$$

Proof: Using the compacity of the set of points x, y such that $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ we get that there is $0 < \delta < 1$ such that if $x, y \in \mathcal{E} \setminus C'(g)$, and $d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon$ then $d(g(x), g(y)) \leq \delta \cdot d(x, y)$. □

Let $\Sigma_0 = \{f_i, f_i^{-1}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}^Z$ be the set of infinite words with letters equal to $f_i^{\pm 1}$, endowed with the product topology. An infinite word $(g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called *reduced* if for any n the finite word $(g_i)_{-n < i < n}$ is reduced. We denote by $\Sigma = \{(g_i) \in \Sigma_0, (g_i) \text{ is reduced}\}$ the subspace of reduced words, $\Delta = \Sigma \times \mathcal{E}$ and $\Pi \colon \Delta \to \Sigma$ the natural projection. Denote by σ the shift on Σ, that is $\sigma(g_i) = (h_i)$ where $h_i = g_{i+1}$, and by $\tilde{\sigma}$ the map on Δ defined by $\tilde{\sigma}((g_i), x)) = (\sigma(g_i), g_0(x))$. One verifies easely that σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ are homeomorphisms. Notice that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a multiplicative cocycle over σ.

The topological picture of the ping-pong may be completely understood:

Proposition 6.4. *With the notation above, there are exactly two continuous sections $s^+ \colon \Sigma \to \Delta$ and $s^- \colon \Sigma \to \Delta$ which are $\tilde{\sigma}-$invariant. Moreover, $s^+(\Sigma)$ is a topological attractor for $\tilde{\sigma}$ whose basin is $\Delta - s^-(\Sigma)$ and $s^-(\Sigma)$ is a topological repellor for $\tilde{\sigma}$ with basin $\Delta - s^+(\Sigma)$ and these two sections are disjoint.*

Proof: Let $(g_i) \in \Sigma$ be a reduced word. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the compact sets

$$K_n^+ = g_{-1} \circ g_{-2} \circ \dots \circ g_{-n}(\mathcal{E} \setminus C'(g_{-n}) \subset C(g_{-1})$$

and

$$K_n^- = g_0^{-1} \circ g_1^{-1} \circ \dots \circ g_{n-1}^{-1}(\mathcal{E} \setminus C(g_{n-1}) \subset C'(g_0)$$

Using the fact that the word (g_i) is reduced, one shows easily that these sequences of compact sets are decreasing with n: $K_{n+1}^+ \subset K_n^+$ and $K_{n+1}^- \subset K_n^-$. Moreover as $g_0 \neq g_{-1}^{-1}$ one has $C(g_{-1}) \cap C'(g_0) = \emptyset$, so that $K_n^+ \cap K_n^- = \emptyset$. Finally, Lemma 6.3 ensures that the diameter of K_n^+ and K_n^- goes uniformly to 0. We define then

$$s^-((g_i)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n^- \quad \text{and} \quad s^+((g_i)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n^+$$

□

6.2 Schottky Groups

A *Schottky group* of rank n is a finitely generated group $\Gamma \subset PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$ having $2n$ disjoint circles $C_1, C'_1, \ldots, C_n, C'_n$ bounding a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, and a system $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ of generators such that $g_i(C'_i) = C_i$ and $g_i(D) \cap D = \emptyset$ (see [19]). Using the discs A_i, B_i bounded by the circles C_i, C'_i respectively and disjoint from D, one see that Γ is a ping-pong group of $Aut(\mathbb{C}P^1)$, moreover it is a compact contracting ping-pong group.

6.3 Geodesics and Reduced Words

Lemma 6.5. *Let S be a finite non-compact hyperbolic Riemann surface, endowed with its natural hyperbolic metric. There are $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ complete mutually disjoint geodesics whose ends arrive to punctures of S, such that the complement $S \setminus \bigcup_1^k \gamma_i$ is connected and simply connected, the γ_i bound a fundamental domain of S' in its universal cover $\mathbb{D}$ and the fundamental domain is a $2k$ sided polygon whose vertices are on the circle at infinity of $\mathbb{D}$.*

Proof: Let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ be a maximal set of non-homotopic mutually disjoint curves whose ends arrive to punctures of S. Clearly, by removing them from S we obtain a connected simply connected domain (for otherwise we could pick and additional β_{k+1}). Lift them to the universal cover of S and replace the lifts of β_j by the geodesics that have the same endpoints. Pushing down these geodesics to S, gives the desired curves γ_i. $\square$

Now fix an origin $x_0 \in S \setminus \bigcup_1^k \gamma_i$. For each i there is a unique geodesic segment α_i joining x_0 to x_0 and cutting γ_i at exactly one point, with the positive orientation, and not cutting $\gamma_j, \quad j \neq i$.

Lemma 6.6. *The closed paths α_i build a system of generators of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S, x_0)$. More precisely the fundamental group is the free group generated by the α_i.*

Proof: The union of the α_i is a bouquet of circles and we verify easily that S admits a retraction by deformation on this bouquet of circles. $\square$

Now fix an orientation on each geodesic γ_i and call γ_i the oriented geodesic. Given any vector $u \in T^1_x S$ at a point $x \in S \setminus \bigcup_1^k \gamma_i$, the geodesic γ_u has two possibility:

1. either one of its ends goes to one puncture of S,
2. or γ_u cuts transversely infinitely many times (in the future and in the past) the geodesics γ_i.

Definition 6.7. The itinerary of the geodesic γ_u *is the sequence $b(u) = (b_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ defined as follows:*

b_i is $\alpha_i^{\pm 1}$, $\quad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ if the $(i-1)^{th}$ intersection of γ_u with $\bigcup \gamma_l$ belongs to γ_i and the coefficient is $+1$ or -1 according if the orientation of γ_u followed by the orientation of γ_i is a direct or inverse basis of the tangent space.

Lemma 6.8. *For any $u \in T^1_x S'$ the itinerary $b(u)$ is a (finite or infinite) reduced word in the letters $\alpha_i^{\pm 1}$, where b_0 corresponds to the first intersection point.*

Proof: If a segment in the fundamental domain cuts 2 times the same geodesic γ_i with opposite direction, then its lift on $\mathbb{D}$ will cut 2 times the same lift of γ_i. So this segment cannot be geodesic. $\square$

Given the geodesic γ_u, and a time $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma_u([0,t_0]) \notin \bigcup_1^k \gamma_i$, we get a closed path $\tilde{\gamma}_u(t)$ joining respectively $\gamma_u(0)$ and $\gamma_u(t)$ by a geodesic segment in the fundamental domain. Moreover if $t > 0$ and if the segment $\gamma_u([0,t])$ cuts $\ell + 1$ times the geodesic γ_i, then the closed path $\tilde{\gamma}_u(t)$ is homotopic to $\beta_0 \cdot \beta_1 \cdots \beta_\ell$ where β_j is a closed path $\alpha_i^{\pm 1}$ according to the letter $b_j = \alpha_i^{\pm 1}$.

Corollary 6.9. *The geodesic γ_u defines a (finite or infinite) reduced word in $\pi_1(S, x_0)$ for the basis $\alpha_i, \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$.*

6.4 Proof of Theorem 5

Let G_e and G_f be free groups generated by $e = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ and $f = \{f_1, \ldots, f_\ell\}$, respectively. Denote by Γ_e and Γ_f their Cayley graphs for the given basis. Both Cayley graphs are trees. Let $\rho : G_e \to G_f$ be a group isomorphism. Any infinite word $b = (b_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad b_j \in \{e_i^{\pm 1}, 1 \le i \le k\}$ defines an infinite path $\sigma(b)$ in the Cayley graph Γ_e. This path $\sigma(b)$ is a geodesic if and only if the word b is reduced (see [12] for background material on hyperbolic groups).

Definition 6.10. *We say that an infinite path $\sigma \subset \Gamma_e$ is stretchable if it is properly embedded (namely, only a bounded part of the path remains in a given compact set of the Cayley graph). It is strictly stretchable if its 2 ends correspond to two distinct ends σ_- and σ_+ of the Cayley graph. The unique geodesic joining σ_- to σ_+ is the reduction σ^r of σ.*

Lemma 6.11. *Let b an infinite word in the letters (e_i). Let $c := \rho(b)$ be the corresponding word in the letters f_i. Then b is stretchable if and only if c is stretchable. ρ induces a homeomorphism from the boundary of Γ_e to the boundary of Γ_f by associating to the boundary point b the boundary point $\rho(b)$.*

Proof: Given any word b in the letters e_i, ρ produces a reduced word $c := \rho(b)$ in the letter f_i obtained as follows: Change each letters $b_j = e_i^{\pm 1}$ by the reduced word $\rho(b_j)$ written in terms of f. Do the appropiate cancellations to obtain the reduced word c. By [12] p.7, the isomorphism ρ induces a quasi-isometry of the Cayley graphs, hence b is stretchable if and only if c is. $\square$

A stretchable word a in a free group defines two points a_- and a_+ in the boundary of the group. So there is a unique geodesic c^r in the Cayley graph of the group, which corresponds to a reduced word on the group, joining a_+ to a_-. Using the same notation as in Proposition 6.4, define $s^-(a) = s^-(c^r)$ and $s^+(a) = s^+(c^r)$. Denote by $\hat{\Sigma}$ the set of stretchable infinite words whose letters are the generators of the Schottky group G. The reduced word corresponding to c^r above belongs to $\hat{\Sigma}$. Recalling that in this case, the group acts on $\mathbb{C}P^1$; σ is the shift on $\hat{\Sigma}$, being a homeomorphism, because $\hat{\Sigma}$ is σ invariant. Recall that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the map on $\hat{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ defined by $\tilde{\sigma}(a, x) = (\sigma(a), a_0(x))$. Then, since the Schottky group defines a compact contracting ping pong, Proposition 6.4 implies immediately the following:

Lemma 6.12. *Let a be a stretchable word in a Schottky group $G \subset SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ and b the image of a by the shift. Then $s^{\pm}(b) = a_0(s^{\pm}(a))$. The map $s^{\pm} : a \mapsto (a, s^{\pm}(a))$ defines an $\tilde{\sigma}-$ measurable section of the trivial fibration $\hat{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \hat{\Sigma}$.*

□

Proof of Theorem 5: Let $\rho : \pi_1(S, x_0) \to SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ be an injective representation with $G = \rho(\pi_1(S, x_0))$ a Schottky group. Notice that the set of vector $u \in T^1S$ such that the corresponding geodesic γ_u goes to a puncture of S has zero Lebesgue measure.

For any unit vector u at a point of the fundamental domain such that the geodesic γ_u has no end at a puncture of S, the word $\rho(b(u))$ is a stretchable word of the Schottky group. For any point x of the fundamental domain of S we denote by H_x the holonomy of the foliation $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ from the fiber over x to the fiber over x_0 by a path contained inside the fundamental domain. This holonomy is well defined because the fundamental domain is simply connected. So we define $s^{\pm} : T^1S \to T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho$ as $s^{\pm}(u) = H_x^{-1}(s^{\pm}(\rho b(\gamma_u)))$. By construction the sections $s^{\pm}$ are defined Liouville almost everywhere, are measurable, and are the sections of largest expansion and contraction. The continuity of $s^{\pm}$ follows from the topological way of constructing the sections in Proposition 6.4 and the fact that the map which associates the point at infinity of the Cayley graph of the presentation of $\pi_1(S)$ to the point at infinity of the Cayley graph of the Schottky group is continuous, by Lemma 6.11. This proves Theorem 5. □

Remark: Observe that Schottky representations over punctured Riemann surfaces never satisfy the integrability condition (3) due to Theorem 3, since all its elements are hyperbolic and so, in particular, the maps corresponding to loops around a puncture. By the way we chose the presentation of the fundamental group (Lemma 6.5) the geodesics give rise to reduced words. Assume now that the image under ρ of these generators of $\pi_1(S)$ are generators of the Schottky group, then we will have that there are no cancellations in the words corresponding to ρ(geodesic). For the general geodesic in S, the ratio between the number of letters to the length of the geodesic goes to infinity as the length of the geodesic goes to infinity, since by ergodicity of the geodesic flow the average time that the general geodesic spends in a small disk around the puncture is proportional to the area of the disk and the number of turns that the geodesic does around the pucture is $cot(\eta)$ by Proposition 5.4. This shows that the 'Lyapunov exponents' of these Schottky representations are $\pm\infty$.

Remark: If S is compact and the group $\tilde{\rho}(\pi_1(S))$ is non-cyclic but contained in a Schottky group, it follows from the results in [3] that there are positive and negative Lyapunov exponents, and hence sections of largest expansion and contraction, but they will only be measurable sections now due to cancellations in the reduced words.

7 Foliation Associated to the Canonical Representation

7.1 The Geometry of the Bundles

Let S be a hyperbolic Riemann surface, and denote by $\pi : \mathbb{H}^+ \to S$ its universal cover by the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}^+$. Fix a point $x_0 \in S$, and $\bar{x}_0 \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$. Denote by

$$\rho_{can} : \pi_1(S, x_0) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset PSL(2,\mathbb{C})$$

the covariant representation obtained by the covering transformations. We consider now the suspension foliation $\mathcal{F}_{can}$ associated to the representation ρ_{can} (that is a foliation in M_{can} whose holonomy is given by $Hol(\gamma) = \rho_{can}(\gamma)^{-1}$).

Definition 7.1. *The representation ρ, the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ bundle M_{can} and the foliation $\mathcal{F}_{can}$ are called the* canonical representation, bundle and foliation *of the hyperbolic Riemann surface S.*

Denote by $\iota\colon \mathbb{H}^+ \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ the usual inclusion of the upper half plane in the projective line. We have the canonical action

$$\pi_1(S, x_0) \times [\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1] \longrightarrow [\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1] \qquad , \qquad (\gamma, x, z) \to (\rho_{can}(\gamma)(x), \rho_{can}(\gamma)(z))$$

corresponding to the representation

$$\rho_{can} \times \rho_{can} : \pi_1(S, x_0) \to PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$$

The quotient $\Pi : M_{can} \to \mathbb{H}^+/\rho_{can} = S$ is a 2-dimensional complex manifold and the projection to the first factor gives it the structure of a $\mathbb{C}P^1$ bundle over S.

For any $\alpha \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ one has $\iota \circ \alpha_{\mathbb{H}^+} = \alpha_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \circ \iota$. Denote by $\tilde{\Delta}$ the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta} = \{(z, \iota(z)) | z \in \mathbb{H}^+\}$. Then for each $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, x_0)$ and each $z \in \mathbb{H}^+$ one gets:

$$(\rho_{can}(\gamma)z, \rho_{can}(\gamma)\iota(z)) = (\rho_{can}(\gamma)z, \iota(\rho_{can}(\gamma)(z)) \in \tilde{\Delta},$$

so the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta}$ is invariant by the action of $\rho_{can} \times \rho_{can}$ and induces in the complex surface M_{can} a Riemann surface Δ and the projection Π induces a biholomorphism $\Delta \to S$. The diagonal Δ is the image of a holomorphic section of the bundle $M_{can} \to S$.

As the representation ρ_{can} has its values in $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, the circle bundle $\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{R}P^1$ is invariant by the action of $\rho(\gamma)$, $\quad \gamma \in \pi_1(S, x_0)$, so that it defines $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{can} \subset M_{can}$ an $\mathbb{R}P^1-$subbundle. For every point p of S we will denote by $\mathbb{R}P^1_p \subset \mathbb{C}P^1_p$ the fiber of these bundles over p. $M^{\mathbb{R}}_{can}$ is disjoint from the diagonal Δ.

Consider now the unit tangent spaces $\Pi_*\colon T^1\mathcal{F}_\rho \to T^1S$. Notice that every unit vector u at a point $p \in S$ lifts canonically to a unit vector tangent to $\mathcal{F}$ at any point $\tilde{p}$ in the fiber $\mathbb{C}P^1_p$. So the diagonal Δ induces canonically a section $\Delta_*\colon T^1S \to T^1\mathcal{F}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M_{can} \arrow[d, shift left, "\Pi"] & T^1\mathcal{F} \arrow[l] \arrow[d, shift right, "\Pi_*"'] \\
S \arrow[u, shift left, "\Delta"] & T^1S \arrow[l] \arrow[u, shift right, "\Delta_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 7.2. *For every unit vector $u \in T^1_p\mathbb{H}^+$, the geodesic γ_u through p tangent to u has its extremities $\tilde{\sigma}^+(u)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^-(u)$ in $\mathbb{R}P^1$. This defines 2 smooth sections $\tilde{\sigma}^\pm : T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \to T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Let Y_u be the holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ vanishing at $\tilde{\sigma}^\pm(u)$ and having $Y_u(p) = u$. Let $\tilde{Y}$ be the smooth vector field defined on $T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ by $\tilde{Y}(v, .) := Y_v(.)$. $\tilde{Y}$ is tangent to the fibers $\{u\} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\quad u \in T^1\mathbb{H}^+$.*

Note that if $\tilde{\sigma}^-(u) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, $\tilde{\sigma}^+(u) = \infty$ and u is the vector $i \in T_i\mathbb{H}^+$ then Y_u is the vector field $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. So for every u, Y_u is conjugate to $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. The hyperbolic norm of Y_u along the geodesic γ_u is uniformly 1. So the flow of Y_u induces the translations along this geodesic. The derivative of Y_u at the point $\tilde{\sigma}^-_0(u)$ is equal to 1, and this does not depend on the metrics on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. The flow lines of the vector field $z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ consist of semirays through 0 having a north to south pole dynamics, with 0 as a hyperbolic repellor and ∞ as a hyperbolic attractor. The vertical ray is a geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^+$.

Lemma 7.3. *The sections* $\tilde{\sigma}^+$ *and* $\tilde{\sigma}^-$ *and the vector field* $\tilde{Y}$ *are invariant by every* $T \in PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$, *i.e.:*

$$\sigma^{\pm}(T_*(v)) = T(\sigma^{\pm}(v)) \qquad , \qquad (T_* \times T)_*\tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}$$

Proof: The endpoints of the geodesic determined by T_*v are $T(\sigma^{\pm}(v))$, so they are invariant, as well as $Y_{T_*(v)} = T_*Y_v$, by its definition. □

The sections $\tilde{\sigma}^{\pm}$ induce in the quotient bundle sections $\sigma^{\pm}$ from T^1S to the $\mathbb{R}P^1-$subbundle of $T^1\mathcal{F}$, and $\tilde{Y}$ induces a vector field Y on $T^1\mathcal{F}$. The sets $\sigma^{\pm}(T^1S)$ are the zero sets of Y.

Corollary 7.4. *The diagonal* Δ, σ^+ *and* σ^- *are* 3 *smooth sections of* $T^1\mathcal{F} \to T^1S$, *pairwise disjoint, and hence define a smooth trivialisation of the* $\mathbb{C}P^1-$*fiberbundle*

$$[T^1\mathcal{F} \to T^1S] \sim [T^1S \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to T^1S]$$

sending σ^+ *to* ∞, σ^- *to* 0 *and* Δ *to* 1.

Proof: The unique thing we need to prove is that the sections are two by two disjoint. σ^+ and σ^- are included in the $\mathbb{R}P^1$ bundle which is disjoint from Δ, since the image of Δ is in the upper half plane. The 2 points $\sigma^{\pm}(u)$ are the extremities in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ of a geodesic in $\mathbb{H}^+ \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$, so they are different. □

We will denote by $|\cdot|$ the Fubini Study metric on the fibers of $T^1\mathcal{F}_{can}$ induced by the trivialisation $T^1\mathcal{F} = T^1S \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ given by Corollary 7.4.

Remark 7.5. *In the trivialisation* $T^1\mathcal{F}_{can} \sim T^1S \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ *given by Corolary 7.4 the flow* Y *admits the sections* $T^1S \times \{0\}$ *and* $T^1S \times \{\infty\}$ *as zeros and the vertical derivative on every point* $(u,0)$ *is* 1. *So in this coordinates the vector field* Y *is* $(0, z\frac{\partial}{\partial z})$.

7.2 The Foliated Geodesic Flow

Denote by X and X_{can} the infinitesimal generators of the geodesic and the foliated geodesic flows on T^1S and $T^1\mathcal{F}_{can}$, respectively, and φ and Φ the corresponding flows, as in (2.2).

Proposition 7.6. *The vector fields* X_{can} *and* Y *on* $T^1\mathcal{F}_{can}$ *commute. In particular, the set* $Zero(Y)$ *is invariant by* X, *so that* σ^+ *and* σ^- *are invariant by* X.

Proof: It suffices to show that $\Phi_{t*}Y = Y$, since

$$[X,Y] = \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t}[\Phi_{t*}Y - Y] = 0.$$

The proof of this is easier on the universal cover $T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the lift of X to the universal covering space $T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. In this trivialisation, the foliated geodesic flow is generated by $(X,0)$, since the foliation is horizontal. So it is enough to prove the following statment:

Claim . *Let* u *and* v *be unit vectors tangent to the same geodesic* γ *of* $\mathbb{H}^+$ *at* x *and* y, *and inducing the same orientation of* γ. *Then the vector fields* Y_u *and* Y_v *on* $\mathbb{C}P^1$ *coincide.*

To prove the claim it is enough to notice that $\iota_*(u)$ and $\iota_*(v)$ are unit vectors for the hyperbolic metric of $\mathbb{H}^+ \subset \mathbb{C}P^1$ tangent at the points $\iota(x)$ and $\iota(y)$ to the geodesic (for the hyperbolic metric) $\iota(\gamma)$. The vector field Y_u is tangent to every point of γ_u and its hyperbolic norm is 1, moreover the orientation induced by Y_u on γ cannot change. So $Y_u(y) = \iota_*(v)$ and so $Y_u = Y_v$. Hence $\Phi_{t*}Y = Y$ as required.

The claim shows that for every u and every $v = \phi_t(u)$ the vertical vector field Y on $\{v\} \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ is $\Phi_{t*}(Y|_{\{u\}\times\mathbb{C}P^1})$. Hence $\Phi_{t*}Y = Y$ as required. $\square$

Proposition 7.7. *The vector field $Z = X + Y$ is tangent to the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta}$.*

Proof: The proof is easier on the cover $\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \arrow[d, shift right, "\Pi"'] & T^1\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \arrow[l, "\tilde{p}"'] \arrow[d, shift right, "\Pi_*"'] \\
\mathbb{H}^+ \arrow[u, shift right, "\Delta"'] & T^1\mathbb{H}^+ \arrow[l, "p"] \arrow[u, shift right, "\Delta_*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

To show that $X + Y$ is tangent to the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta}$ it is enough to show that, for every $u_x \in T^1\mathbb{H}^+, x \in \mathbb{H}^+$ the vector $\tilde{p}_*((X + Y)(u_x, \iota(x))$ is tangent to Δ at the point $(x, \iota(x))$. On one hand, $\tilde{p}_*(X(u_x, y))$ is the horizontal vector $(u_x, 0)$ at the point (x, y). On the other , $\tilde{p}_*(Y(u_x, \iota(x)))$ is the vertical vector $(0, \iota_*(u_x))$ at the point $(x, \iota(x))$. So the vector $\tilde{p}_*((X + Y)(u_x, \iota(x)))$ is the vector $(u_x, \iota_*(u_x))$ at the point $(x, \iota(x))$ and is tangent to Δ. $\square$

Corollary 7.8. *The flow Z_t of Z is horizontal in the trivialisation $T^1\mathcal{F}$. In particular it induces isometries on the fibers $\mathbb{C}P^1$ endowed with the metric $|\cdot|$.*

Proof: As X and Y commute and all preserve the fibration so does Z. Moreover, as X and Y induce on the fiber maps belonging to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ so does Z. To prove the corollary its suffices to show that Z preserves the 3 sections $\tilde{\Delta}$, $\tilde{\sigma}^+$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^-$. Z is tangent to $\tilde{\Delta}$ by Proposition 7.7. Y vanishes on $\sigma^\pm(T^1S)$ and X is tangent to them by Proposition 7.6. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6 The foliated geodesic flow is $X = Z - Y$. As these flows commute $X_t = Y_{-t} \circ Z_t$, where the notation corresponds to the flows of the corresponding vector fields. In the trivialisation given by Corollary 7.4 the flow Z_t induces the identity on the fibers and Y_{-t} is the homothety $z \to e^{-t}z$. Hence we obtain a contraction in the projective space, which may be translated to the affine space. This means that there is a section of largest expansion and contraction. The sections are smooth sections. The geodesic flow is recurrent hence the ω limit set of any point not in $\sigma^-(T^1S)$ is contained in $\sigma^+(T^1S)$. The α limit set of any point not in $\sigma^+(T^1S)$ is contained in $\sigma^-(T^1S)$. Along $\sigma^\pm(T^1S)$ the foliated geodesic flow X_Δ is hyperbolic. This proves the Theorem 6. $\square$

7.3 Representation Topologically Equivalent to the Canonical Representation

Let

$$V := \{\rho := (A_1, \ldots, A_g) \in PSL(2, C) \ / \ \Pi_1^g [A_{2i-1}, A_{2i}] = Id\}$$

be the complex algebraic variety parametrizing representations of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S)$ of the compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, where $[A, B] := ABA^{-1}B^{-1}$. We also have an action

$$PSL(2, C) \times V \to V \tag{7.1}$$

given by conjugation. Let ρ_0 be the representation corresponding to the canonical representation. Bers's simultaneous uniformisation ([19]) implies that there is an open connected set $U \subset V$ containing ρ_0 such that all representations in U are quasiconformally conjugate, and there is a surjective map

$$U \to Teich^g \times Teich^g$$

which associates to each representation $\rho \in U$ the Riemann surfaces obtained by quotienting the region of discontinuity of ρ by ρ, and its fibers are the $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ orbits (7.1).

Proposition 7.9. *For any representation ρ in the above open set U, the Riccati equation with monodromy ρ has a unique SRB-measure with basin of attraction of total Lebesque measure for positive and for negative times.*

Proof: By Theorem 6, the assertion is true for the canonical representation ρ_{can}. By Bers's simultaneous uniformization, there is a quasiconformal map $h : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ conjugating the action of ρ_{can} to the action of $\rho \in U$. We may use this map to obtain a homeomorphism over T^1S of the $\mathbb{C}P^1$-bundles $H : Proj(E_{can}) \to Proj(E_\rho)$ conjugating the geodesic flows. This homeomorphism is absolutely continuos, since horizontally it is the identity and vertically it is the quasiconformal map h, which is absolutely continuos. Hence $Proj(E_\rho)$ has a unique SRB-measure for positive and negative times, and it is $H_*(\mu^\pm)$. □

References

[1] Y. Bakhtin and M. Martinez, *A characterization of harmonic measures on laminations by hyperbolic riemann surfaces,* Preprint Arxiv:0611235, to appear in Annales de l'Institut Hénri Poincaré, (2008).

[2] Ch. Bonatti and X. Gómez-Mont *Sur le comportement statistique des feuilles de certains feuilletages holomorphes,* Monogr. Enseign. Math, **38**, 15-41, (2001).

[3] Ch. Bonatti, X. Gómez-Mont and M. Viana *Généricité d'exposants de Lyapunov non-nuls pour des produits déterministes de matrices,* Annales Inst. Henri Poincar, **20**, 579-624, (2003).

[4] Ch. Bonatti and M. Viana *Lyapunov Exponents with multiplicity 1 for deterministic products of matrices,* Erg. Th. & Dynam. Sys., **24**, 1295-1330, (2004).

[5] R. Bowen and D. Ruelle, *The ergodic theory of axiom A flows,* Inventiones Math., **29**, 181-202, (1975).

[6] E. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations,* McGraw-Hill, New York, (1955).

[7] B. Deroin and V. Kleptsyn *Random Conformal Dynamical Systems,* GAFA, **17**, 1043-1105, (2007).

[8] J.E. Fornaess and N. Sibony *Harmonic currents of finite energy and laminations,* GAFA, **15**, 962-1003, (2005).

[9] J.E. Fornaess and N. Sibony *Unique ergodicity of harmonic currents on singular foliations of P^2,* Preprint arXiv:math/0606744, (2006).

[10] J.E. Fornaess and N. Sibony *Riemann Surface Laminations with Singularities,* Preprint arXiv:0803.0703, to appear in JGA in honor of Gennadi Henkin (2008).

[11] L. Garnett *Foliations, the ergodic theorem and Brownian motions,* J. Funct. Anal., **51:3**, 285-311, (1983).

[12] E.Ghys and P. de la Harpe *Sur les groupes hyperboliques d'apres Mikhael Gromov,* Progress in Mathematics, Volume 83, Birkhäuser, Zurich (1990).

[13] G. Hedlund, *Fuchsian groups and transitive horocycles,,* Duke Math. J., **2**, 530-542, (1936).

[14] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems,* Cambridge University Press, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Volume 54 (1995).

[15] U. Krengel, *Ergodic theorems,* De Gruyter studies in Math. vol. 6, Berlin, 1985.

[16] B. Lawson *The qualitative theory of foliations,* CBMS Regional Conference in Math. 27. (1978).

[17] M. Martinez, *Measures on hyperbolic surface laminations,* Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., **26**. 847-867, (2006).

[18] M. Martinez and A. Verjovsky, *Hedlund's theorem for compact minimal laminations,* preprint arXiv:0711.2307, (2007).

[19] B. Maskit, *Kleinian groups,* Springer Verlag, Grundlehren 287, New York. (1987)

[20] D. Ruelle, *A measure associated with axiom A attractors,* Amer. J. Math., **98**. 619-654, (1976).

[21] Y. Sinaï, *Markov partitions and C-diffeomorphisms,* Func. Anal. and its Appl., **2**, 64-89, (1968).

[22] M. Viana, *Almost all cocycles over any hyperbolic system have non-vanishing Lyapunov exponents,* Annals of Math., **167**, 643-680, (2008).

Christian Bonatti (bonatti@@u-bourgogne.fr)
Laboratoire de Topologie, UMR 5584 du CNRS
B.P. 47 870, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France

Xavier Gómez-Mont (gmont@@cimat.mx)
Ricardo Vila (vila@@cimat.mx)
CIMAT
A.P. 402, Guanajuato, 36000, México